



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,651	07/12/2001	Hiroyuki Nakane	77670/495	2816

7590 04/07/2010
King L. Wong, Esq
Kenyon & Kenyon LLP
1500 K St. N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005

EXAMINER

STEADMAN, DAVID J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1656

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/07/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/902,651	Applicant(s) NAKANE ET AL.	
	Examiner David J. Steadman	Art Unit 1656	

All Participants:

(1) David J. Steadman.

(2) King L. Wong.

Date of Interview: 1 April 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: response filed 2/16/10

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: approximately 10 AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NONE

Claims discussed:
 1

Prior art documents discussed:
 NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner discussed a proposed amendment to claim 1 to edit the claim to improve claim form without changing the scope of the claimed polypeptide. The examiner also noted that a supplemental reissue declaration is required before the application can be allowed to issue. In order that applicant may consider the proposed amendment and submit a supplemental reissue declaration, it was agreed that a final rejection would be forthcoming noting each of the issues discussed during the interview.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David J. Steadman/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)